

ISO SC4/WG10 AP Modularization **Status Report**

Bad Aibling
June 6, 1998

WG10 AP Modularization Process

- **Florence WG10 one day workshop**
 - Several presentations on concepts
 - Based on similarity and resources, decided to proceed via PDES, Inc. team developing approach
 - Guidelines and sample modules were produced
- **Two interim WG10 three day workshops**
 - Review approach and guidelines
 - Gain consensus and generate issues
- **Orlando WG10 one day workshop**
 - Based on progress, build development plans

London Workshop Review

- **Next Actions**

- Move to some level of experimentation
 - Agree on one scope, have two groups develop modules to meet that scope, compare the results (PDES, Inc. and Shipbuilding)
 - Produce modules based on both the ARMed approach and the ARM-less approach and compare results (PDES, Inc., J. Fowler, R. Goult for EACM, N. Swindells)
- Produce Issues Log and Classify Issues (D. Price)

London Workshop Review (2)

- **Next Actions continued**

- Complete AP Development Guidelines 0.3 but do not update yet per workshop issues (D. Price)
- Publish web demo (C. Vaughn and J. Fowler)
- Framework/catalogue coordination (C. Vaughn)
- Prioritize and resolve issues (WG10 and workshops)

Gaithersburg Workshop Review

- **Identified four areas for activity**
 - **Technical development**
 - **Framework/catalogue development**
 - **Methodology and guidelines development**
 - **New organizational structure/process development and control**

Proposed Plan from Orlando

- **2Q98 : Experiment among the possible approaches and determine the best one**
- **2Q98 : Document the approach and technical content of an AM and an AP using AMs**
- **3Q98 : Develop an AM scoping and integration support process for teams developing AMs and APs**
 - **Development and support of the AM catalogue**
- **4Q98 : Develop AMs and APs using AMs**

Accomplishments Since Orlando

- **STEP Modularization Strategy**
 - Developing Integration/Harmonization Guidelines
 - Developing Module Validation Process
 - Publishing draft of Industrial Framework Model
 - Published an update of the Issue Log
- **Application Modules/AP Extensions**
 - Published early draft of PDM schema as a sample modularized AP in HTML
 - Published sample drafting modules suite
 - Published draft of modules library, catalogue and framework for the Web (see the demo!)

Accomplishments Since Orlando (2)

- **Specifications**
 - Part 21 : published draft amendment with support of data from more than one schema in a single file
 - Held session evaluating EXPRESS-X as potential replacement for AP mapping table
 - No capability identified yet that EXPRESS-X cannot handle
- **Administration and planning**
 - See the proposal for a formal WG10 PWI
 - See the proposal for accelerating development via the STEP Centers as a more formal industry organization

Summary of Status from Orlando plan

- **We are slightly behind on some items from Orlando plan**
 - No “ARM-less module” was produced
 - No interim workshops or meetings were held with interested industry organizations as was hoped
- **We are slightly ahead on some items from Orlando plan**
 - Have HTML template for modules and connections into Web-based catalogue
 - Using product id and approval modules in work on SC4 data architecture PWI as first example

Plans for next quarter

- **Formal recognition in SC4 via PWI**
- **Complete drafting modules suite proposal**
- **Complete process for modules validation**
- **Complete integration/harmonization guideline**
- **Publish completed PDM modules suite and sample PDM AP using modules in HTML**
- **NWI/CD ballot for Part 21 amendment**
- **Get project Web site online**

Proposed Standardization Directions

- **The approach is to separate development, publication and standardization**
 - Do not use the ISO standardization process as a development process
 - During development don't enforce ISO document requirements and enable Web-based development
 - Use an Advanced Industry Standards Organization initially based on the STEP Centers - then fast-track into ISO standardization process
- **Keeping international support and consensus is critical to making this work**

Potential Recommendations for AP development teams

- **For any AP not yet through interpretation**
 - Adopt the AP modularization approach
- **For any AP not yet in CD ballot cycle**
 - Adopt the AP modularization approach in any known areas of overlap with existing or in development APs
- **For any AP that has passed CD ballot, is DIS, FDIS or IS**
 - Make a case by case determination on modularizing the technical contents for inclusion in the AM catalog

Potential Recommendations to SC4

- Accept AMs as a new type of ISO 10303 Part
 - or as the next generation of AIC
- Accept that APs may be published using the AP modularization approach
- Prepare for finalization of new Guidelines, new Methods, AMs and modularized APs
 - Best estimate is October 98

Issue Log

Issue Category	Open Issues	Accepted/Resolved Issues	Closed Issues	Total
Technical Development	20	0	3	23
Guidelines/Methodology	21	9	11	41
Organizational/Process	8	4	2	14
Framework/Catalogue	0	2	0	2
Total	49	15	16	80

Now for the demo!